



KAMARAJ IAS ACADEMY
Only IAS Academy by Grandson of "Perunthalsivam Kamarajar"

Ladakh Expands Autonomous Hill Development Councils to All Seven Districts Amid Debate on Decentralised Governance Framework

Published On: 19-07-2026

Recent Developments:

- The **Ladakh Administration** has announced the establishment of an **Autonomous Hill Development Council (AHDC)** in each of the **seven districts** of the Union Territory, expanding the existing decentralised governance framework beyond **Leh** and **Kargil**.
- The decision follows the creation of **five new districts**—**Drass, Sham, Nubra, Changthang, and Zaskar**—in **April 2026**, increasing the total number of districts in Ladakh from **two** to **seven**.
- The Administration has described the initiative as a major step towards **democratic decentralisation, grassroots governance, and balanced regional development**.
- Simultaneously, discussions are continuing between the **Union Government** and Ladakh's representatives regarding a **Union Territory-level representative body** under a customised **Article 371** framework, proposed to possess **legislative, executive, financial, and administrative** powers.

Background of the Issue:

Administrative Evolution of Ladakh:

- **Ladakh** became a separate **Union Territory** on **31 October 2019** following the reorganisation of the erstwhile State of **Jamman Kashmir** under the **Jamman Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019**.
- Unlike the **National Capital Territory of Delhi** and the **Union Territory of Puducherry**, **Ladakh** does not have a **Legislative Assembly**, and is administered by the **Lieutenant Governor** assisted by civil administration.
- Prior to the recent announcement, elected district-level governance existed only through the **Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council (Leh)** and the **Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council (Kargil)**.
- The creation of five additional districts has significantly altered Ladakh's administrative geography, making decentralised governance increasingly important.

Why Decentralisation is Important in Ladakh:

- **Ladakh** is India's **largest Union Territory by geographical area**, covering nearly **60,000 sq. km**, while having a population of only about **3 lakh**, making it one of the country's least densely populated regions.
- Settlements are widely dispersed across **high-altitude mountain ranges, remote valleys, and border areas**, making centralised administration difficult.
- Long travel distances, difficult terrain, and harsh climatic conditions increase the need for district-level planning and quicker administrative decision-making.
- Decentralised institutions can improve the delivery of development schemes, disaster management, border area administration, and public services in remote habitations.

Kamaraj IAS Academy

Plot A P.127, AF block, 6 th street, 11th Main Rd, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600040

Phone: **044 4353 9988 / 98403 94477 / Whatsapp : 09710729833**

Autonomous Hill Development Councils (AHDCs):

About:

- **Autonomous Hill Development Councils (AHDCs)** are statutory local self-governing institutions created to provide greater administrative and developmental autonomy in the geographically distinct districts of Ladakh.
- At present, the existing councils function in **Leh** and **Kargil**, while the new proposal seeks to extend the same institutional framework to all seven districts.
- The establishment of additional councils is permitted under **Section 3** of the **Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council Act**, which authorises the Government to constitute a council in every district through notification.

Objectives:

- Strengthen **democratic decentralisation** by bringing governance closer to local communities.
- Improve district-level planning according to local geographical, ecological, and socio-cultural conditions.
- Promote balanced regional development across all districts of Ladakh.
- Enhance public participation in developmental planning and local decision-making.
- Reduce administrative delays by empowering locally elected institutions.

Composition:

- Each **Autonomous Hill Development Council** consists of **elected representatives** from territorial constituencies along with a limited number of nominated members as provided under the governing legislation.
- The Council elects a **Chairperson** and an **Executive Council**, which collectively supervise developmental administration within the district.
- Administrative support is provided through district-level government departments functioning under the overall supervision of the Council.

Major Powers and Functions:

- Preparation and approval of district development plans.
- Formulation of district budgets and prioritisation of developmental expenditure.
- Supervision and implementation of welfare and infrastructure schemes.
- Management of specified categories of council land and local public assets.
- Collection of certain local taxes, fees, and user charges as authorised by law.
- Coordination among local administrative departments to improve service delivery.
- Promotion of agriculture, animal husbandry, tourism, education, health, rural development, and local infrastructure according to district-specific needs.

Constitutional and Legal Framework:

Statutory Basis of AHDCs:

- **Autonomous Hill Development Councils** in Ladakh derive their authority from the **Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council Act** and therefore enjoy **statutory**, rather than **constitutional**, status.
- Their powers remain subject to amendments by the competent legislature or Parliament and do not enjoy the constitutional protection available to institutions created under the **Sixth Schedule**.

Proposed Article 371 Framework:

Kamaraj IAS Academy

Plot A P.127, AF block, 6 th street, 11th Main Rd, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600040

Phone: **044 4353 9988 / 98403 94477 / Whatsapp : 09710729833**

- The Union Government and Ladakh's representatives have broadly discussed a customised framework under **Article 371** to provide region-specific constitutional safeguards suited to Ladakh's unique geographical and strategic requirements.
- According to the proposal under discussion, a **Union Territory-level representative body** would function above the district councils with powers relating to **legislation, administration, finance, and policy coordination**, while district councils would continue to address local governance.
- The proposed arrangement seeks to balance **grassroots decentralisation** with **Union Territory-level political representation**, although its final constitutional design is still under discussion.

Opposition from Civil Society Groups:

Position of Civil Society Organisations:

- The two principal civil society organisations, namely the **Apex Body, Leh (ABL)** and the **Kargil Democratic Alliance (KDA)**, have consistently supported **democratic decentralisation**, but have opposed the immediate establishment of **seven Autonomous Hill Development Councils (AHDCs)** before the finalisation of a **Union Territory-level representative framework**.
- Both organisations are currently engaged in negotiations with the **Union Government** regarding constitutional, political, and administrative safeguards for Ladakh, including a customised framework under **Article 371**.
- Their principal concern is that district-level decentralisation should complement, rather than weaken, the proposed **Union Territory-level representative institution**.

Major Concerns Raised:

- The creation of **seven district councils** before establishing the proposed **Article 371** framework may significantly reduce the effective authority of the future Union Territory-level representative body.
- Expansion of administrative institutions without corresponding devolution of powers may increase bureaucratic complexity instead of improving governance.
- The proposal may create multiple institutions exercising overlapping responsibilities, thereby reducing administrative clarity and accountability.
- Existing **AHDCs** have reportedly experienced a gradual erosion of their functional autonomy since Ladakh became a **Union Territory**, raising concerns regarding the effectiveness of creating additional councils without restoring institutional powers.
- Representatives of civil society have alleged that the proposal was included in the **Minutes of the Centre–Ladakh Meeting** held on **22 May 2026**, despite the absence of consensus, and that the final decision was taken without adequate consultation.

Roots of the Trust Deficit:

Administrative and Political Factors:

- The present disagreement reflects a broader trust deficit that has evolved between Ladakh's civil society organisations and the **Union Government** since the creation of the **Union Territory** in **2019**.
- Unlike the **Union Territories of Delhi and Puducherry**, **Ladakh** was not provided with an elected **Legislative Assembly**, resulting in demands for greater political representation.
- Discussions regarding **Sixth Schedule-like constitutional safeguards** and a customised **Article 371** framework have progressed slowly, contributing to public dissatisfaction.

Recent Developments Intensifying the Trust Deficit:

- Public concerns increased following the **Leh protests of September 2025**, during which demands relating to constitutional safeguards, employment protection, environmental conservation, and political representation

gained prominence.

- The detention of environmental activist **Sonam Wangchuk** under the **National Security Act, 1980**, and certain public remarks perceived as questioning Ladakh's political aspirations further intensified mistrust between local organisations and the administration.
- The emergence of new socio-political organisations during the negotiation process has also contributed to differences within local political movements.
- The creation of **five new districts** in **April 2026** generated additional debate regarding administrative boundaries and regional representation, particularly between different geographical regions of Ladakh.

Existing Powers versus Actual Functioning of AHDCs:

Powers Available Under the Statutory Framework:

- The **Ladakh Autonomous Hill Development Council Act** grants substantial authority to the councils regarding district planning, budget preparation, developmental programmes, management of specified categories of land, and collection of local taxes.
- The Councils are expected to coordinate development across sectors such as **education, health, agriculture, tourism, rural development, animal husbandry**, and local infrastructure.
- The statutory framework envisages elected local institutions playing a central role in district-level governance and development planning.

Practical Challenges in Functioning:

- Elected representatives from different political parties have argued that decision-making has increasingly shifted towards the **Lieutenant Governor's Secretariat**, thereby reducing the operational autonomy of the councils.
- Recommendations made by the councils regarding land administration and local development are reportedly not always implemented.
- Budgetary allocations and administrative staffing available to the councils have reportedly declined since Ladakh became a **Union Territory**.
- Consequently, the proposal to establish additional councils has generated debate regarding whether institutional expansion should precede restoration of the functional autonomy of existing councils.

Comparison with Similar Autonomous Institutions:

Comparison with Sixth Schedule Autonomous Councils:

- **Autonomous District Councils** established under the **Sixth Schedule** in **Assam, Meghalaya, Mizoram, and Tripura** derive their authority directly from the **Constitution**, whereas **AHDCs** are purely statutory institutions.
- Sixth Schedule Councils possess limited **legislative, judicial, and financial** powers over specified subjects, subject to the approval of the **Governor**.
- Sixth Schedule institutions enjoy constitutional protection against arbitrary modification, whereas the powers of **AHDCs** remain dependent upon statutory provisions.

Comparison with Statutory Autonomous Councils in Other States:

- **AHDCs** resemble statutory autonomous councils functioning in states such as **Manipur**, where substantial powers exist on paper but practical implementation is often constrained by financial dependence and administrative control exercised by higher authorities.

- Like other statutory councils, the effectiveness of **AHDCs** depends significantly upon actual devolution of financial resources, administrative authority, and institutional autonomy rather than merely the legal provisions establishing them.

Significance of the Seven-Council Proposal:

Administrative Significance:

- The proposal seeks to strengthen **district-level governance** by enabling locally elected representatives to address development challenges specific to each district.
- Greater decentralisation may improve implementation of government programmes in geographically remote and strategically important border areas.

Developmental Significance:

- Separate councils can facilitate district-specific planning based on local ecological conditions, demographic characteristics, cultural diversity, and developmental priorities.
- Local participation in planning may improve utilisation of public resources and strengthen accountability in developmental administration.

Strategic Significance:

- **Ladakh** shares international borders with both **China** and **Pakistan**, making effective local governance strategically important for border management and socio-economic stability.
- Strong local institutions can improve delivery of public services, strengthen public confidence in governance, and contribute to long-term stability in sensitive frontier regions.

Political Significance:

- The ongoing debate highlights the broader constitutional question of balancing **grassroots decentralisation** with **adequate political representation** at the **Union Territory** level.
- The final governance model adopted for Ladakh may influence future discussions regarding asymmetric federalism, regional autonomy, and institutional design in other strategically significant regions of India.

Issues and Challenges:

Institutional Overlap and Administrative Complexity:

- The simultaneous existence of **seven Autonomous Hill Development Councils**, **Panchayati Raj Institutions**, the **Union Territory Administration**, and a proposed **Article 371 Representative Body** may create overlapping jurisdictions and dilute institutional accountability.
- Absence of a clearly demarcated distribution of powers could lead to administrative conflicts, duplication of functions, and delays in decision-making.

Limited Functional Autonomy:

- Although the **Autonomous Hill Development Councils** possess significant statutory powers, their effective functioning depends upon the actual devolution of **administrative**, **financial**, and **executive** authority by the Union Territory Administration.
- Without meaningful decentralisation, expansion in the number of councils may not necessarily translate into better local governance.

Financial Constraints:

- The Councils remain largely dependent upon budgetary allocations from the **Union Territory Administration**, limiting their ability to independently plan and implement developmental programmes.
- Inadequate financial autonomy may weaken district-level planning and reduce institutional effectiveness.

Need for Constitutional Safeguards:

- Unlike **Sixth Schedule Autonomous District Councils**, **AHDCs** do not enjoy constitutional protection, making their powers vulnerable to legislative amendments or administrative decisions.
- The absence of constitutionally guaranteed autonomy remains one of the principal concerns raised by civil society organisations.

Trust Deficit and Political Consensus:

- Successful decentralisation requires sustained cooperation between the **Union Government**, **Union Territory Administration**, elected representatives, and civil society organisations.
- Continued political disagreements may affect the implementation of governance reforms and weaken public confidence in decentralised institutions.

Way Forward:

Clarify Institutional Responsibilities:

- The respective powers of the **Union Territory Administration**, **Autonomous Hill Development Councils**, **Panchayati Raj Institutions**, and the proposed **Article 371 Representative Body** should be clearly defined through an integrated governance framework.
- A well-defined distribution of legislative, executive, financial, and administrative functions can minimise jurisdictional conflicts and improve institutional coordination.

Strengthen Functional Autonomy of AHDCs:

- Existing **Autonomous Hill Development Councils** should be provided with adequate financial resources, administrative staff, and executive authority before expanding the institutional framework.
- Greater devolution of planning and implementation powers would strengthen grassroots governance and improve developmental outcomes.

Build Political Consensus:

- Governance reforms should evolve through continuous consultation with elected representatives, local communities, and civil society organisations to ensure wider public acceptance.
- Transparent dialogue can help bridge the existing trust deficit and facilitate long-term institutional stability.

Provide Constitutional Safeguards:

- The proposed **Article 371** framework should provide an appropriate constitutional mechanism that accommodates Ladakh's unique geographical, cultural, ecological, and strategic characteristics.
- Any future governance arrangement should balance **district-level decentralisation** with **Union Territory-level democratic representation**.

Promote Inclusive and Sustainable Development:

- Developmental planning should integrate environmental conservation, sustainable tourism, border area development, climate resilience, and preservation of Ladakh's unique cultural heritage.
- Local institutions should receive greater responsibility in implementing region-specific development strategies suited to high-altitude Himalayan ecosystems.

Value Addition for UPSC:

Constitutional Provisions:

- **Article 239** provides for the administration of **Union Territories** by the **President** through an **Administrator** appointed by him.
- **Article 239A** enables the creation of Legislatures and Councils of Ministers for specified **Union Territories** through parliamentary legislation.
- **Article 239AA** grants special constitutional status to the **National Capital Territory of Delhi**.
- **Article 371 to Article 371J** provide region-specific constitutional safeguards for various States to address their distinct historical, cultural, social, and developmental requirements.
- **Sixth Schedule** provides constitutional autonomy to tribal areas in **Assam, Meghalaya, Tripura, and Mizoram** through **Autonomous District Councils**.

Ladakh at a Glance:

- **Union Territory:** Created on **31 October 2019** under the **Jammand Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019**.
- **Administrative Status:** Union Territory without a Legislative Assembly.
- **Present Districts:** **Leh, Kargil, Drass, Sham, Nubra, Changthang, and Zaskar**.
- **Strategic Importance:** Shares international borders with **China** and **Pakistan**, making it one of India's most strategically significant frontier regions.
- **Ecological Importance:** Forms part of the **Trans-Himalayan** ecosystem and contains ecologically fragile cold desert landscapes highly vulnerable to climate change.

Difference Between AHDCs and Sixth Schedule Councils:

Parameter

AHDCs

Sixth Schedule Councils

Legal Status

Statutory

Constitutional

Constitutional Protection

Not Available

Available

Legislative Powers

Very Limited

Specified Legislative Powers

Kamaraj IAS Academy

Plot A P.127, AF block, 6 th street, 11th Main Rd, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600040

Phone: **044 4353 9988 / 98403 94477 / Whatsapp : 09710729833**

Judicial Powers

Not Available

Limited Judicial Powers

Financial Autonomy

Limited

Comparatively Higher

Applicability

Ladakh

Assam, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Tripura

UPSC Mains Enrichment:

- **Democratic decentralisation** must focus on the **effective devolution of powers, financial autonomy, and institutional accountability**, rather than merely increasing the number of governing institutions.
- **Asymmetric federalism** enables the Constitution to accommodate India's geographical, cultural, ethnic, and strategic diversity through differentiated governance arrangements.
- Ladakh represents an evolving model of **multi-level governance**, where national security, ecological sustainability, democratic participation, and regional aspirations must be balanced within the constitutional framework.
- The ongoing governance debate in Ladakh highlights the importance of balancing **grassroots democracy, regional autonomy, administrative efficiency, and national integration**, making it highly relevant for **GS Paper II (Polity & Governance), GS Paper III (Internal Security & Border Area Development), and Essay**