



KAMARAJ IAS ACADEMY
Only IAS Academy by Grandson of "Perunthalsivam Kamarajar"

Manipur Census 2027 Deferred Amid NRC Demand, Ethnic Displacement and Delimitation Concerns

Published On: 08-09-2026

Recent Developments:

- The Union Government has **deferred the house-listing phase of Census 2027 in Manipur**, which was scheduled to begin on **1 September 2026**, amid demands from several civil-society groups that an updated **National Register of Citizens (NRC)** should precede the Census. The decision followed a high-level review chaired by Union Home Minister Amit Shah and came amid continuing political and ethnic tensions.
- The **Manipur High Court** had also directed that the Census house-listing exercise remain in abeyance until **12 October 2026**, adding a judicial dimension to the controversy.
- The controversy connects three closely interlinked issues: **citizenship and migration, displacement caused by ethnic conflict, and political representation through delimitation.**
- The Centre's decision is significant because the Census 2027 framework had already been formally notified, with **1 March 2027** fixed as the national reference date, making the Manipur deferment an important state-specific development in an otherwise nationwide exercise.

Why Is the Census Being Opposed?

Citizenship and Demographic Change:

- Several **Meitei and Naga civil-society organisations** argue that migration from Myanmar has altered Manipur's demographic composition and therefore demand that citizenship status be clarified before population figures are formally recorded.
- Their central concern is that a Census records the **resident population**, whereas an NRC would seek to establish who qualifies as an Indian citizen; therefore, they argue that population enumeration without prior citizenship verification could institutionalise disputed demographic changes.
- However, allegations of illegal immigration and demographic change should not automatically be treated as proof of illegal immigration because **population-growth figures alone cannot establish citizenship status.**

Displacement Caused by Ethnic Conflict:

- The ethnic violence that began in **May 2023** has produced large-scale internal displacement, with communities separated from their original villages and many people living in relief camps or other temporary locations.
- Meitei organisations argue that conducting a Census during continuing displacement could record the **geography of displacement rather than the settled demographic structure** of Manipur.
- The demand for postponement therefore also has a rehabilitation dimension: supporters argue that displaced persons should be able to return before a population baseline is established.

Political Representation:

Kamaraj IAS Academy

Plot A P.127, AF block, 6 th street, 11th Main Rd, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600040

Phone: **044 4353 9988 / 98403 94477 / Whatsapp : 09710729833**

- Census population figures have implications for **delimitation**, because population distribution is a major consideration in determining territorial constituencies.
- Since Manipur's Assembly constituencies have not undergone a fresh comprehensive delimitation based on later Census figures, communities fear that a new population count could alter the existing balance between valley and hill constituencies.

Is the NRC Demand New?

Evolution of the Demand:

- The demand for an NRC in Manipur predates the present Census controversy. In **June 2022**, the **Coordinating Committee on Manipur Integrity** and the **United Naga Council** jointly sought an NRC and a State Population Commission.
- The **Manipur Legislative Assembly** subsequently adopted a resolution on **5 August 2022** seeking both mechanisms and reaffirmed the demand on **1 March 2024**.
- Supporters pointed to unusually high population growth in certain hill areas between earlier Census periods and argued that these trends required demographic investigation; however, such statistical differences by themselves cannot conclusively establish the presence of illegal immigrants.
- The state government established the **Manipur State Population Commission in February 2023**, with the broader objective of examining demographic changes and identifying illegal immigrants.

The Myanmar Factor:

Cross-Border Migration and Security:

- Myanmar's **2021 military coup** intensified armed conflict, particularly in Chin State, and contributed to the movement of people across the India–Myanmar border, including persons sharing ethnic and linguistic links with Manipur's **Kuki-Zomi population**.
- The influx became politically contentious because the Manipur government associated it with concerns relating to **new settlements, forest encroachment and poppy cultivation**, while Kuki organisations argued that genuine Indian citizens were sometimes being conflated with recent arrivals.
- The controversy illustrates the difficulty of distinguishing **cross-border migration, refugee movement, traditional ethnic linkages and illegal immigration** in a border region where communities have historically existed on both sides of an international boundary.

Free Movement Regime:

- In **February 2024**, the Union Government decided to scrap the **Free Movement Regime with Myanmar**, citing internal-security requirements and the need to protect the demographic structure of India's northeastern states bordering Myanmar.
- The decision demonstrates the growing importance of **border management, migration governance and demographic security** in India's northeastern frontier policy.

What Do Kuki-Zo Groups Say?

Opposition to NRC Before Census:

- The **Kuki-Zo Council** has opposed conducting an NRC before the Census, describing such sequencing as premature and unjustified.
- It argues that the NRC is fundamentally a **national citizenship exercise** and that a state-level process should not be unilaterally imposed without an appropriate legal and institutional framework.

- Kuki-Zo representatives contend that allegations against their community should first be tested against **credible demographic and administrative evidence**, rather than using disputed allegations to determine the methodology of population enumeration.
- Since **Kuki, Zomi and Chin communities extend across the India–Myanmar border**, they argue that an old documentary cut-off could potentially exclude families that have lived in Manipur for generations but lack documentation corresponding to the proposed historical baseline.
- The Kuki-Zo position supports **delimitation based on verified demographic data**, but opposes using an NRC as a precondition that could potentially disenfranchise genuine citizens.

Why Is Delimitation the Real Stake?

Existing Electoral Structure:

- Manipur has **60 Assembly seats**, comprising **40 seats in the valley** and **20 seats in the hill areas**.
- The present territorial arrangement is rooted in the earlier delimitation framework based on the **1971 Census**, making subsequent population changes politically significant.
- The **Election Commission of India** identifies delimitation as the process of fixing the territorial boundaries of legislative constituencies and notes that delimitation commissions have been constituted periodically under parliamentary law.

Why Population Data Matters:

- Manipur, along with **Assam, Arunachal Pradesh and Nagaland**, faced special treatment in the national delimitation process because of security concerns and disputes surrounding demographic data.
- The Election Commission's records also document the historical **deferment of delimitation in Manipur**, demonstrating that the issue has long involved legal, administrative and security considerations.
- Consequently, fresh demographic figures could have major political consequences if population distribution is subsequently used to redraw constituencies.
- Political anxieties in the valley centre on the possibility that a revised population distribution could alter the existing **40:20 electoral balance**, although specific future seat ratios cannot be predicted without an actual delimitation exercise.

Can Manipur Have Its Own NRC?

Constitutional and Legal Framework:

- **Section 14A of the Citizenship Act, 1955** empowers the Central Government to compulsorily register Indian citizens, issue national identity cards and maintain a **National Register of Indian Citizens** through the prescribed institutional framework.
- The statutory framework therefore places the principal authority for maintaining the national citizens' register with the **Central Government**, making the legal architecture different from a state government independently creating its own citizenship register.
- A state-specific NRC would consequently require appropriate **central action, legal procedure and administrative safeguards**, rather than merely a unilateral state decision.
- The issue remains politically sensitive because citizenship determination directly affects constitutional rights, electoral participation and access to public institutions.

Alternative Tools Being Used:

Demographic and Electoral Mechanisms:

- The Centre and state authorities have mechanisms other than an NRC to address demographic concerns, including **population studies, electoral-roll revision, border management and immigration enforcement**.

- The **Special Intensive Revision of electoral rolls** is particularly relevant because electoral rolls determine voting eligibility, although voter registration and citizenship determination are legally distinct processes.
- Stronger **border surveillance, biometric documentation, verification of migrants and action against illegal foreign nationals** can supplement broader citizenship and security measures without automatically equating every undocumented person with an illegal immigrant.

Census and NPR Distinction:

- The **Census** is fundamentally a population-enumeration exercise, whereas the **National Population Register** is intended to contain information on usual residents and an **NRC** concerns citizenship.
- These instruments therefore have different purposes and legal implications; conflating them can create conceptual and administrative confusion.
- The official Census 2027 framework confirms that population enumeration is being conducted under the **Census Act, 1948**, with the nationwide reference date fixed at 1 March 2027.

Way Forward:

Sequencing Citizenship, Census and Delimitation:

- The immediate priority should be to establish a **transparent and legally defensible sequence** among Census, citizenship verification, rehabilitation and delimitation rather than allowing one exercise to delegitimise another.
- Any citizenship-verification process should apply **uniform legal standards, adequate documentary flexibility, notice and appeal mechanisms**, particularly in border regions where historical migration and cross-border ethnic linkages complicate documentation.
- Census enumeration should remain **statistically neutral and professionally administered**, while displacement-related limitations should be addressed through robust enumeration methodology and subsequent updating mechanisms.
- Rehabilitation of internally displaced persons should proceed alongside demographic exercises so that conflict-induced displacement does not permanently distort political representation.
- Any future delimitation should rely on **verified population data, constitutional safeguards and an inclusive consultation process** to prevent demographic competition from deepening ethnic divisions.
- Border management should combine **security enforcement with humanitarian considerations**, especially where refugees, traditional cross-border communities and Indian citizens may have overlapping ethnic identities.

Conclusion:

- The Manipur Census controversy demonstrates how **citizenship, demographic change, displacement and political representation** can become inseparable in a conflict-affected border state.
- **Meitei and Naga groups** view an NRC as necessary for establishing a reliable citizenship and demographic baseline before Census and delimitation, whereas **Kuki-Zo groups** fear that an NRC conducted first could wrongly exclude genuine citizens and aggravate ethnic marginalisation.
- The Centre's deferment provides an opportunity to build consensus, but it also highlights the need to prevent demographic exercises from becoming instruments of ethnic competition.
- A sustainable solution requires **evidence-based citizenship verification, credible Census methodology, rehabilitation of displaced persons, effective border governance and constitutionally grounded delimitation**, with due protection of the rights of all communities.

Value Addition for UPSC:

Key Concepts:

Kamaraj IAS Academy

Plot A P.127, AF block, 6 th street, 11th Main Rd, Shanthi Colony, Anna Nagar, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600040

Phone: **044 4353 9988 / 98403 94477** / Whatsapp : **09710729833**

- **Census:** A statutory population-enumeration exercise conducted under the Census Act, 1948.
- **NRC:** A citizenship-oriented register intended to identify persons recognised as Indian citizens under the applicable legal framework.
- **NPR:** A register concerning usual residents, which is conceptually distinct from a citizens' register.
- **Delimitation:** The process of fixing or redrawing territorial boundaries of legislative constituencies according to the applicable constitutional and statutory framework.
- **Free Movement Regime:** A special border arrangement that historically facilitated regulated cross-border movement between India and Myanmar.

Mains-Ready Analytical Point:

- **“In conflict-affected border regions, demographic data is not merely a statistical resource; it can influence citizenship, political representation and perceptions of ethnic security. Therefore, Census, citizenship verification and delimitation must remain legally distinct, institutionally credible and sequenced through constitutional safeguards.”**